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## Goal of this talk

1. Motivate dynamic algorithms
2. Expander Decomposition through dynamic graph applications.
3. How it is also used for centralized and distributed algorithms.
4. Quick survey of applications

## Part 1

## Dynamic Algorithms:

 What and Why?
## Analyze dynamic networks



Track communities in social networks


Subroutines in static algorithms

```
                            "inside" Shortest Paths
```


"inside" Linear Programming


## A common theme

## We solve the same problem repeatedly where input keeps changing



## Dynamic Algorithms

## Science of how not to compute things from scratch

High-level goal:<br>"How to Efficiently Prepare for Changes"

## Example: Dynamic Problems

| Level | Textbook | Research |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Change | Insert/delete a number in a set $S$ | Insert/delete an edge in a graph $G$ |
| Maintain | Minimum number in $S$ | Is $G$ connected? |
| Recompute | $\boldsymbol{O}(\|S\|)$ time | O(\#edges) time |
| Can do | $\boldsymbol{O}(\log \|S\|)$ time | Example: $\operatorname{polylog}(\mathbf{n})$ randomized <br>  <br>  <br> ascu vemane, soce cu, patasacu Thorru, Focs <br>  <br> Many open questions... |

## More Example: Dynamic Graph Problems (in Focs/stoc/Soda)

## Dynamic <br> Connectivity / MST

Sleator Tarjan STOC'81
Frederickson, STOC'83
Eppstein et al, FOCS'92
Henzinger King, STOC'95
Holm et al, STOC'98
Thorup, STOC'00
Patrascu Demaine, STOC'04
Patrascu Thorup, FOCS'07
Kapron et al, SODA'13
Wulff-Nilsen, SODA'13
Huang et al, SODA'17
Nanongkai S, STOC'17
Wulff-Nilsen, STOC'17
Nanongkai S Wulff-Nilsen, FOCS'17

## Dynamic (Directed)

## Reachability

Even Shiloach, JACM'81 Henzinger King, FOCS'95 King Sagert, STOC'99 Demetrescu Italiano, FOCS'00 Rodditty Zwick, FOCS'02 Sankowski, FOCS'04

Lacki, SODA'11
Henzinger et al, STOC'14
Chechik et al, FOCS'16
Italiano et al, STOC'17
Bernstein et al, STOC'19

## Dynamic <br> Maximum Matching

Sankowski, SODA'07
Onak Rubinfeld, STOC'10
Baswana et al, FOCS'11 Gupta Peng, FOCS'13 Neiman Soloman, STOC'13 Bosek et al, FOCS'14 Gupta et al, SODA'14 Bhattacharya et al, SODA'15 Bernstein Stein, SODA'16 Peleg Solomon, SODA'16 Bhattacharya et al, STOC'16 Solomon, FOCS'16 Bhattacharya et al, SODA'17

## Dynamic Single-Source Shortest Path

Even Shiloach, JACM'81 Ausiello et al, SODA'90
Rodditty Zwick, FOCS'04
Bernstein Riditty, SODA'11
Bernstein, STOC'13
Henzinger et al, SODA'14 Henzinger et al, STOC'14 Henzinger et al, FOCS'14 Bernstein Chechik, STOC'16 Bernstein Chechik, SODA'17 Chuzhoy Khanna, STOC'19 Probst Wulff-Nilsen, SODA'20 Probst Wulff-Nilsen, SODA'20

## Dynamic <br> All-Pairs Shortest Path

King, FOCS'99
Demetrescu Italiano, FOCS'00
Fakcharoenphol Rao, FOCS'01
Baswana et al, STOC'02
Baswana et al, SODA'03 Roditty Zwick, FOCS'04

Thorup, STOC'05
Bernstein, SODA'09
Abraham et al, STOC'12 Henzinger et al, FOCS'13
Abraham et al, SODA'17
Chechik, FOCS' 18
Probst Wulff-Nilsen, SODA'20

## Dynamic Alg. Inside Static Alg.





Linear Program
[Karmakar'84] [Vaidya'89]
Dynamic Linear
System Solver


Max flow
[Sleator Tarian'82]
Link-cut Tree


Traveling Salesman [Chekuri Quarrud FoCs'17]

Dyn. "Global Min Cut"

## Non-adaptive users:

All updates are fixed from the beginning. Usually cannot be used as
subroutines inside static algo.

## Adaptive users:

Updates from users can depend on previous answers


## Dynamic Spanning Forest: Definition and Progress

## Definition: Spanning Tree/Forest

Spanning tree: a smallest sub-network that connects all nodes together


Spanning forest: set of spanning trees on each connected component


## Maintaining a spanning forest under changes

## Example: Dynamic Spanning Forest

| Input: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Update in G |  |
| Picture |  |

## Example: Dynamic Spanning Forest

| Input: <br> Update in G |  | Delete $(1,3)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Picture |  |  |
| Output: <br> Change in F |  |  |

## Example: Dynamic Spanning Forest

| Input: <br> Update in G |  | Delete(1,3) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Picture |  | $(1,3)$ removed <br> $(1,2)$ added |
| Output: <br> Change in F |  | $(2)$ |

## Example: Dynamic Spanning Forest

| Input: <br> Update in G |  | Delete(1,3) | Insert( 2,3 ) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Picture |  | $(1,3)$ removed <br> $(1,2)$ added |  |
| Output: <br> Change in F |  | $(4)$ |  |

## Example: Dynamic Spanning Forest

| Input: <br> Update in G |  | Delete(1,3) | Insert(2,3) | Delete(2,4) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Picture |  | $(1,3)$ removed <br> $(1,2)$ added |  | $(5)$ |
| Output: <br> Change in F |  | $(4)$ |  |  |

## Example: Dynamic Spanning Forest

| Input: <br> Update in G |  | Delete(1,3) | Insert(2,3) | Delete(2,4) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Picture |  |  |  |  |
| Output: Change in F |  | $(1,3)$ removed $(1,2)$ added |  | $(2,4)$ removed $(2,3)$ added |

# Goal: minimize update time 

Worst-case time to output changes of $F$ for each update

## Why this problem can be hard?



## Why this problem can be hard?

Crossing Edges


Interesting when:
delete a tree-edge
Want: Find a crossing edge

## Question:

Must scan all clique-edges?
Scan the whole graph?

## Progress

$n=\#$ of nodes, $m=\#$ of edges

| Reference | Update time |
| :--- | :---: |
| Naïve | $m$ |
| Frederickson [STOC'83] | $m^{1 / 2}$ |
| EGIN [FOCS'92] | $n^{1 / 2}$ |

## Progress

$n=\#$ of nodes, $m=\#$ of edges

Important development in amortized update time

Henzinger King [STOC'95] Holm Lichtenberg Thorup [STOC’98]

Thorup [STOC'00]
Patrascu Demaine [STOC'04]
Wulff-Nilsen [SODA'13]
HHKP [SODA'17]

| Reference | Update time |
| :--- | :---: |
| Naïve | $m$ |
| Frederickson [STOC'83] | $m^{1 / 2}$ |
| EGIN [FOCS'92] | $n^{1 / 2}$ |
| 20-year gap: |  |
| A lot of successes in closely related settings |  |
| (amortized update time) |  |

## Progress

$n=\#$ of nodes, $m=\#$ of edges

Important development in amortized update time

Henzinger King [STOC'95] Holm Lichtenberg Thorup [STOC’98]

Thorup [STOC'00]
Patrascu Demaine [STOC'04]
Wulff-Nilsen [SODA'13]
HHKP [SODA'17]

| Reference | Update time |
| :--- | :---: |
| Naïve | $m$ |
| Frederickson [STOC'83] | $\mathrm{m}^{1 / 2}$ |
| EGIN [FOCS'92] | $n^{1 / 2}$ |
| 20-year gap: <br> A lot of successes in closely related settings <br> (amortized update time)  <br> Assume user is not adaptive  <br> Kapron King Mountjoy [SODA'13] polylog $n$ |  |

## Non-adaptive users:

All updates are fixed from the beginning. Usually cannot be used as
subroutines inside static algo.

## Adaptive users:

Updates from users can depend on previous answers


## Progress

$n=\#$ of nodes, $m=\#$ of edges

Important development in amortized update time

Henzinger King [STOC'95] Holm Lichtenberg Thorup [STOC’98]

Thorup [STOC'00]
Patrascu Demaine [STOC'04]
Wulff-Nilsen [SODA'13]
HHKP [SODA'17]

| Reference | Update time |
| :--- | :---: |
| Naïve | $m$ |
| Frederickson [STOC'83] | $\mathrm{m}^{1 / 2}$ |
| EGIN [FOCS'92] | $n^{1 / 2}$ |
| 20-year gap: <br> A lot of successes in closely related settings <br> (amortized update time)  <br> Assume user is not adaptive  <br> Kapron King Mountjoy [SODA'13] polylog $n$ |  |

## Progress

$n=\#$ of nodes, $m=\#$ of edges

| Reference | Update time |
| :--- | :---: |
| Naïve | $m$ |
| Frederickson [STOC'83] | $m^{1 / 2}$ |
| EGIN [FOCS'92] | $n^{1 / 2}$ |
| 20-year gap: <br> A lot of successes in closely related settings <br> (amortized update time) |  |
| Assume user is not adaptive |  |
| Kapron King Mountjoy [SODA'13] | polylog $n$ |
| KKPT [ESA'16] | $n^{1 / 2} \cdot \frac{\log \log n}{(\log n)^{1 / 2}}$ |

## Progress

$n=\#$ of nodes, $m=\#$ of edges

| Reference | pdate time |
| :---: | :---: |
| Naïve | $m$ |
| Frederickson [STOC'83] | $m^{1 / 2}$ |
| EGIN [FOCS'92] | $n^{1 / 2}$ |
| 20-year gap: <br> A lot of successes in closely related settings (amortized update time) |  |
| Assume user is not adaptive |  |
| Kapron King Mountjoy [SODA'13] | polylog $n$ |
| KKPT [ESA'16] | $n^{1 / 2} \cdot \frac{\log \log n}{(\log n)^{1 / 2}}$ |
| Wulff-Nilsen [STOC'17] | $n^{0.499}$ |
| Nanongkai S [STOC'17] | $n^{0.401}$ |

## Progress

$n=\#$ of nodes, $m=\#$ of edges

| Reference | Update time |
| :--- | :---: |
| Naïve | m |
| Frederickson [STOC'83] | $m^{1 / 2}$ |
| 20-year gap: |  |
| A lot of successes in closely related settings |  |
| (amortized update time) |  |

Will explain how to use
Expander decomposition via (simplification of) this work

## Part 1.2 <br> Dynamic Spanning Forest: How to use Expander Decomposition

# Recall: Why this problem can be hard? 



Let's solve the problem on graphs that this situation cannot happen...

## Expanders



Random Graphs
(Erdös-Rényi)


Power-law Graphs
(preferential attachment)
[Gkantsidis, Mihail, Saberi SIGMETRICS'03]


Hypercubes

$\mathbb{F}_{p}$-cycles
with inverse chords

## Definition: Expanders

$G=(V, E)$ is an expander if
$\forall S \subset V \frac{|E(S, \bar{S})|}{\min \{\operatorname{vol}(S), \operatorname{vol}(\bar{S})\}} \geq \frac{1}{\operatorname{polylog}(n)}$


$$
\operatorname{vol}(S)=\sum_{u \in S} \operatorname{deg}_{G} u
$$

> In general,
> $\phi$-expander: $\frac{|E(s, \bar{S})|}{\min \{\operatorname{vol}(S), \operatorname{vol}(\bar{S})\}} \geq \phi$

## Expander Paradigm

## 1. Solve it on expanders.

## 2. Combine the solutions.

## Expander Paradigm

1. Solve it on expanders.

## Warm-up: One update to Expander

Suppose that $\boldsymbol{G}$ is an expander, and there is one update.
Goal: maintain a spanning tree $T$ of $G$.


## Warm-up: One update to Expander

Suppose that $G$ is an expander, and there is one update.
Goal: maintain a spanning tree $\boldsymbol{T}$ of $\boldsymbol{G}$


Interesting only when: delete a tree-edge Want: edge crossing $S$ to reconnect
Alg: sample an edge with an endpoint in $S$
(can do fast)
By expansion: get edge crossing $S$ w.p. $\frac{1}{\operatorname{polylog}(n)}$
Repeat: $\tilde{O}(1)$ times. Done w.h.p.

## What if there are more updates?


after many edge deletions, not expander anymore!

## Let's "repair" the expander

## Expander Paradigm

## 1. Solve it on expanders.

## 2. Combine the solutions.

Problem specific:
e.g. Random Sampling

General tool:
Expander Pruning

## Expander Pruning [Nsw'17]


where $\boldsymbol{k} \leq \boldsymbol{m} / \boldsymbol{n}^{\boldsymbol{o}(\mathbf{1})}$

## Guarantee:

1. Time to update $\boldsymbol{P}_{i-1}$ to $\boldsymbol{P}_{i}$ is $\boldsymbol{n}^{\boldsymbol{o}(\mathbf{1})}$
2. So $\operatorname{vol}\left(\boldsymbol{P}_{i}\right)=\boldsymbol{i} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}^{\boldsymbol{o}(\mathbf{1})}$
3. $G_{i}\left[V-P_{i}\right]$ is a $\frac{1}{n^{o(1)}}$ expander

## Expander Pruning [Nsw'17]



## Expanders can be quickly "repaired" under edge updates.

## Expander Paradigm

## 1. Solve it on expanders.

Problem specific:
e.g. Random Sampling

General tool:
Expander Pruning

## Expander Paradigm

## 1. Solve it on expanders.

Problem specific:
In this talk, will only show
how to solve a relaxed problem
(contains all conceptual ideas)

## Relaxed Problem: Dynamic Spanning Subgraphs

1. Maintain Any Spanning Subgraph with $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{O}}(\boldsymbol{n})$ edges
(Easier than Spanning Forest.)
2. There are only $n^{1-o(1)}$ updates (can assume w.l.o.g. by standard techniques.)


## What if there are more updates?

## Expander Pruning:

1. Time to update $\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ is $\boldsymbol{n}^{\boldsymbol{o}(\mathbf{1})}$
2. So $\operatorname{vol}\left(P_{i}\right)=i \cdot n^{o(1)}$
3. $G_{i}\left[V-P_{i}\right]$ is a $\frac{1}{n^{o(1)}}$-expander

Suppose that $\boldsymbol{G}$ is an expander, but there are many updates.

after many edge deletions, not expander anymore

## Expander Pruning

 maintains $P$ where$\boldsymbol{G}[\boldsymbol{V}-P]$ is $\frac{1}{n^{o(1)}}$-expander

## Expander Pruning:

1. Time to update $P_{i}$ is $\boldsymbol{n}^{\boldsymbol{o}(\mathbf{1})}$
2. So $\operatorname{vol}\left(P_{i}\right)=i \cdot n^{o(1)}$
3. $G_{i}\left[V-P_{i}\right]$ is a $\frac{1}{n^{o(1)}}$-expander

Suppose that $G$ is an expander, but there are many updates.
Algo: maintain spanning tree $T$ of $G[V-P]$ union with $E(P, V)$


Update time: $n^{o(1)}$

- Updating $E(P, V): n^{o(1)}$ by Expander Pruning.
- Updating $T: n^{o(1)}$ by Random Sampling
- $G[V-P]$ is $\frac{1}{n^{o(1)}}$-expander at any time.


## What if there are more updates?

## Expander Pruning:

1. Time to update $\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ is $\boldsymbol{n}^{\boldsymbol{o}(\mathbf{1})}$
2. So $\operatorname{vol}\left(P_{i}\right)=i \cdot n^{o(1)}$
3. $G_{i}\left[V-P_{i}\right]$ is a $\frac{1}{n^{o(1)}}$-expander

Suppose that $G$ is an expander, but there are many updates.
Algo: maintain spanning tree $T$ of $G[V-P]$ union with $E(P, V)$


## Correctness:

- $T \cup E(P, V)$ spans $G$
- $|T \cup E(P, V)|=O(n)$
- $|T| \leq n$
- $|E(P, V)|=\operatorname{vol}(P)=O(n)$
- Recall: \#updates is $n^{1-o(1)}$


## Expander Paradigm

## 1. Solve it on expanders.

Problem specific:
e.g. Random Sampling

General tool:
Expander Pruning
How to work with general graphs?

## Expander Paradigm

## 1. Solve it on expanders.

## 2. Combine the solutions.

Problem specific:
e.g. Random Sampling

General tool:
Expander Pruning

General tool:
Expander Decomposition

## Expander Decomposition

[S Wang SODA'19]:
$\widetilde{\boldsymbol{O}}(\boldsymbol{m})$-time w.h.p.
Input: $G=(V, E)$
Output: A partition $\left(V_{1}, \ldots V_{k}\right)$ of $V$

"Graph = Disjoint Expanders + Few Edges"
$G\left[V_{i}\right]$ is expander $\leq \boldsymbol{m} / \mathbf{2}$ inter-cluster edges

## Repeated Expander Decomposition

## Expander decomposition

## Repeated Expander Decomposition

expander

$\leq \frac{m}{2}$ inter-cluster edges

## Repeated Expander Decomposition



## Repeated Expander Decomposition



## Repeated Expander Decomposition



## Repeated Expander Decomposition



## Repeated Expander Decomposition

Input: $G=(V, E)$
Output: $\left(G_{1}, \ldots, G_{O(\log n)}\right)$ such that

- $G_{i}=$ disjoint union of expanders
- $E=E\left(G_{1}\right) \dot{\cup} \ldots \dot{\cup} E\left(G_{O(\log n)}\right)$

Time: $\tilde{O}(m)$

## Dynamic Spanning Subgraph: General Graphs

1. Preprocess: Compute repeated expander decomposition $\left(G_{1}, \ldots, G_{O(\log n)}\right)$
2. Algo: Each expander $G^{\prime}$, maintain spanning subgraph $H^{\prime}$ of $G^{\prime}$

- $H^{\prime}$ has $O\left(\left|V\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right|\right)$ edges
- Update time $n^{o(1)}$ (if the update is on $G^{\prime}$ )

$G_{O(\log n)}$


## Dynamic Spanning Subgraph: General Graphs

1. Preprocess: Compute repeated expander decomposition $\left(G_{1}, \ldots, G_{O(\log n)}\right)$
2. Algo: Each expander $G^{\prime}$, maintain spanning subgraph $H^{\prime}$ of $G^{\prime}$

- $H^{\prime}$ has $O\left(\left|V\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right|\right)$ edges
- Update time $n^{o(1)}$ (if the update is on $G^{\prime}$ )

3. Claim: Union of all $H^{\prime}$ is a spanning subgraph of $G$ with $O(n \log n)$ edges.


## Dynamic Spanning Subgraph: General Graphs

1. Preprocess: Compute repeated expander decomposition $\left(G_{1}, \ldots, G_{O(\log n)}\right)$
2. Algo: Each expander $G^{\prime}$, maintain spanning subgraph $H^{\prime}$ of $G^{\prime}$

- $H^{\prime}$ has $O\left(\left|V\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right|\right)$ edges
- Update time $n^{o(1)}$ (if the update is on $G^{\prime}$ )

3. Claim: Union of all $H^{\prime}$ is a spanning subgraph of $G$ with $O(n \log n)$ edges.



-     - 



## Dynamic Spanning Subgraphs

## Conclusion:

Given $G$ undergoing edge updates,

- maintain spanning subgraph
- with $O(n \log n)$ edges
- in $n^{o(1)}$ update time


## Part 2 <br> Centralized Algorithms

## Expander Paradigm

## 1. Solve it on expanders.

## 2. Combine the solutions.

Problem specific:

e.g. Random Sampling



General tool:
Expander Decomposition

## Definition: Spanner

## Informal: Subgraph that preserves all distances.

## Definition: Spanner

Let $G=(V, E)$.
$H=\left(V, E^{\prime}\right)$ is a $\boldsymbol{k}$-spanner of $G$ if

1. $E^{\prime} \subset E$
2. $\forall(u, v) \in E, \operatorname{dist}_{H}(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{v}) \leq k$

## Spanners of Expanders

$G$ : expander
$T$ : a shortest path tree in $G$ (rooted at an arbitrary node $r$ ).
Observe: $T$ is a polylog $(n)$-spanner of $G$
Proof: $\forall(u, v) \in E, \operatorname{dist}_{T}(u, v) \leq \operatorname{dist}_{T}(u, r)+\operatorname{dist}_{T}(r, v)$


## Spanners of General Graphs

## Spanner(G):

1. Compute repeated expander decomposition: $\left(G_{1}, \ldots, G_{O(\log n)}\right)$
2. $H_{i}=$ Shortest path tree on each expander of $G_{i}$
expander


$G_{O(\log n)}$

## Spanners of General Graphs

## Spanner(G):

1. Compute repeated expander decomposition: $\left(G_{1}, \ldots, G_{O(\log n)}\right)$ Total time: $\widetilde{O}(m)$
2. $H_{i}=$ Shortest path tree on each expander of $G_{i}$
3. Return $H=U_{i} H_{i}$


## Spanners of General Graphs

## Conclusion:

Given $G$,

- a polylog(n)-spanner
- with $O(n \log n)$ edges
- in $\tilde{O}(m)$ time


## Expander Paradigm

## 1. Solve it on expanders.

## 2. Combine the solutions.

Problem specific:
Shortest path tree

General tool:
Expander Decomposition

Problem specific:
Random sampling

More applications:

- Cut sparsifiers: preserve cut sizes
- Spectral sparsifiers: preserve eigenvalues


## Part 3 <br> Distributed Algorithms

Definition: CONGEST model


## Definition: CONGEST model

- Local knowledge: A node know only its neighbors

- Local communication:

A node can send messages to only its neighbors in each round

- Bounded Bandwidth:

Each message has size $O(\log n)$-bit

## Goal:

- Compute something about the underlying network
- Minimize the number of rounds


## Expander Paradigm (Distributed)

## 1. Solve it on expanders.

## 2. Combine the solutions.

Problem specific:
e.g. Random Sampling

General tool:
Expander Decomposition

General tool:
Expander Routing

## Expander Routing (Informal)

[Ghaffari Kuhn Su PODC'17] [Ghaffari Li DISC'18]

## A node $u$ can exchange $\operatorname{deg}_{G}(u)$ messages with any set of nodes in $n^{o(1)}$ rounds in an expander

Expanders allow global communication with small overhead

Local communication In any graph, can exchange with only neighbors in 1 round

## Expander Routing

[Ghaffari Kuhn Su PODC'17] [Ghaffari Li DISC'18]
Input: underlying graph $G=(V, E)$ and demand graph $D=\left(V, E^{\prime}\right)$

- $G$ : expander
- $\operatorname{deg}_{D}(u) \leq \operatorname{deg}_{G}(u) \forall u \in V$


## Output:

- for all $(u, v) \in E^{\prime}$ simultaenously,
- $u$ and $v$ can exchange a message in $n^{o(1)}$ rounds (in $G$ )


## Expanders allow global communication

## Expander Paradigm (Distributed)

## 1. Solve it on expanders.

## 2. Combine the solutions.

Problem specific:

## e.g. Random Sampling

General tool:
Expander Routing

Can import ideas from algorithms in CONGESTED-CLIQUE model

## General tool:

Expander Decomposition

Round complexity:

- $n^{1-\epsilon}$ [Chang Pettie Zhang SODA'19] (with caveat)
- $n^{\epsilon}$ [Chang S PODC'19]
- polylog $(n)$ [Chang $\mathbf{S}$ ]

Conclusion: Survey and Open Problems

## Centralized Setting

## Expander Paradigm (Centralized)

## 1. Solve it on expanders.

## 2. Combine the solutions.

Problem specific:
e.g. Random Sampling

General tool:
Expander Decomposition

## Expander Decomposition

[S Wang SODA'19]:
$\widetilde{\boldsymbol{O}}(\boldsymbol{m})$-time w.h.p.
Input: $G=(V, E)$
Output: A partition $\left(V_{1}, \ldots V_{k}\right)$ of $V$

"Graph = Disjoint Expanders + Few Edges"
$G\left[V_{i}\right]$ is expander $\leq \boldsymbol{m} / \mathbf{2}$ inter-cluster edges

## Fast Centralized Algorithms

New! [Chuzhoy Gao Li Nanongkai Peng S]: Expander decomposition in $m^{1+o(1)}$ deterministic time

|  | Time (Randomized) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Laplacian system solvers <br> [Spielman Teng sToc'04] | $\tilde{O}(m)$ |
| Spectral sparsifiers <br> [Spielman Teng sToc'04] | $\tilde{O}(m)$ |
| Approx. max flow <br> [Kelner Lee Orecchia Sidford SODA'14] | $\tilde{O}(m)$ |
| Approx. vertex max flow <br> [Chuzhoy Khanna STOC'19] | $\tilde{O}\left(n^{2}\right)$ |
| Bipartite Matching, Shortest Path, Max flow <br> [Cohen Madry Sankowski Vladu SODA'17] | $\tilde{O}\left(m^{10 / 7}\right)$ |

## Expander Paradigm is the key to all these results

## Fast Centralized Algorithms

Open: Expander decomposition in $\tilde{O}(m)$ deterministic time (would remove all $m^{o(1)}$ below)

|  | Time (Randomized) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Time (Deterministic) } \\ \text { [CGLNPS] } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Laplacian system solvers <br> [Spielman Teng STOC'04] | $\tilde{O}(m)$ | $m^{1+o(1)}$ |
| Spectral sparsifiers <br> [Spielman Teng STOC'04] | $\tilde{O}(m)$ | $m^{1+o(1)}$ |
| Approx. max flow <br> [Kelner Lee Orecchia Sidford SODA'14] | $\tilde{O}(m)$ | $m^{1+o(1)}$ |
| Approx. vertex max flow <br> [Chuzhoy Khanna STOC'19] | $\tilde{O}\left(n^{2}\right)$ | $n^{2+o(1)}$ |
| Bipartite Matching, Shortest Path, Max flow [Cohen Madry Sankowski Vladu SODA'17] | $\tilde{O}\left(m^{10 / 7}\right)$ | $m^{10 / 7+o(1)}$ |

## Expander Paradigm is the key to all these results

## Dynamic Setting

## Non-adaptive users:

All updates are fixed from the beginning. Usually cannot be used as
subroutines inside static algo.

## Adaptive users:

Updates from users can depend on previous answers


## Frontier of Dynamic Graph Algorithms

## We DON'T know how to serve adaptive users!

| Problems | Non-adaptive users | Adaptive users |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Spanning Forests <br> (worst case) | polylog $n$ <br> [Kapron King Mountioy SODA'13] | $\sqrt{n}$ <br> [EGIN FOCs'92] |  |

## Frontier of Dynamic Graph Algorithms

## We DON'T know how to serve adaptive users!

| Problems | Non-adaptive users | Adaptive users |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Spanning Forests <br> (worst case) | polylog $n$ <br> [Kapron King Mountioy SODA'13] | $\sqrt{n}$ <br> [EGIN FOCS'92] |  |
| Spanners <br> (amortized) | polylog $n$ <br> [BKS ESAO6, SODA'08] | [trivial] |  |
| Single Source Shortest Paths <br> (decremental approximate amortized) | $m^{1+o(1)}$ <br> [HKN FOCS'14] | [Even Shiloah'81] |  |
| Single Source Reachability <br> (decremental amortized) | m <br> [BPW STOC'19] | mn <br> [Even Shiloah'81] |  |
| Cut Sparsifiers <br> (worst-case) | polylog $n$ <br> [ADKKP FOCS'16] | $m$ <br> [trivial] |  |
| Maximal Matching | O(1) <br> [Solomon FOCs'16] | $\sqrt{m}$ <br> [Neiman Solomon STOC'13] |  |

## Frontier of Dynamic Graph Algorithms

## Expander Paradigm can help in many cases!

| Problems | Non-adaptive users | Adaptive users | Adaptive users <br> (by Expander Decomposition) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Spanning Forests <br> (worst case) | polylog $n$ <br> [Kapron King Mountjoy SODA'13] | $\sqrt{n}$ <br> [EGIN FOCS'92] | $n^{O(1)}$ [NSW FOCS'17] |
| Spanners <br> (amortized) | polylog $n$ <br> [BKS ESA06, SODA'08] | m [trivial] | $n^{o(1)}$ <br> [BNSSS FOCS'17] |
| Single Source Shortest Paths <br> (decremental approximate amortized) | $m^{1+o(1)}$ <br> [HKN FOCS'14] | $m n$ <br> [Even Shiloah'81] | $n^{2+o(1)}$ <br> [Bernstein Chechik STOC'16] [CS] |
| Single Source Reachability <br> (decremental amortized) | m <br> [BPW STOC'19] | $m n$ <br> [Even Shiloah'81] | - |
| Cut Sparsifiers <br> (worst-case) | polylog $n$ <br> [ADKKP FOCS'16] | m [trivial] | - |
| Maximal Matching | $\begin{aligned} & O(1) \\ & \text { [Solomon FOCS'16] } \end{aligned}$ | $\sqrt{m}$ <br> [Neiman Solomon STOC'13] | - |

## Expander Paradigm (Dynamic)

## 1. Solve it on expanders.

## 2. Combine the solutions.

Problem specific:
e.g. Random Sampling

General tool:
Expander Decomposition

General tool:
Expander Pruning

## Expander Pruning [Nsw'17]



## Expanders can be quickly "repaired" under edge updates.

## Expander Pruning [Nsw'17]



## Guarantee:

1. Time to update $\boldsymbol{P}_{i-1}$ to $\boldsymbol{P}_{i}$ is $\boldsymbol{n}^{\boldsymbol{o ( 1 )}}$
2. So $\operatorname{vol}\left(\boldsymbol{P}_{i}\right)=\boldsymbol{i} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}^{o(1)}$
3. $G_{i}\left[V-P_{i}\right]$ is a $\frac{1}{n^{o(1)}}$-expander

## Open:

Improve $n^{o(1)}$ to polylog $(n)$
imply polylog(n) worst-case update time for many problems (e.g. spanning subgraphs, spectral sparsifiers)

## Distributed Setting

## Expander Paradigm (Distributed)

## 1. Solve it on expanders.

## 2. Combine the solutions.

Problem specific:
e.g. Random Sampling

General tool:
Expander Decomposition

General tool:
Expander Routing

## Expander Routing

[Ghaffari Kuhn Su PODC'17] [Ghaffari Li DISC'18]

## A node $u$ can exchange $\operatorname{deg}_{G}(u)$ messages with any set of nodes in $n^{o(1)}$ rounds in an expander

Expanders allow global communication with small overhead

## Open:

Improve $n^{o(1)}$ to polylog(n)
(Many applications even in centralized setting (chat offline))

## Distributed CONGEST algorithm

|  | Upper bound | Lower bound |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Triangle (3-clique) listing |  | $\widetilde{\Omega}\left(n^{1 / 3}\right)$ |
| 4-clique listing |  | $\xrightarrow[{\substack{\text { (Fischer Gonen Kuhn oshman } \\ \text { SPAA } 18]}}]{\widetilde{1}\left(n^{1 / 2}\right)}$ |
| 5-clique listing | $\tilde{o}\left(n^{21 / 22}\right)$ | $\widetilde{\Omega}\left(n^{3 / 5}\right)$ |
| $k$-vertex subgraph detection | $n^{2-\Omega(1 / k)}$ [Eden etal. DISC'19] | $n^{2-O(1 / k)}$ [Fischer et al. SPAÁ18] |

## Expander Paradigm used in all upper bounds

## Distributed CONGEST algorithm

|  | Upper bound | Lower bound |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Triangle (3-clique) listing |  |  |
| 4-clique listing |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { (Fischer Gonene Kuhn oshman } \\ \text { SPAA } 18]}}{\widetilde{1 / 2}\left(n^{1 / 2}\right)}$ |
| 5-clique listing | $\tilde{o}\left(n^{21 / 22}\right)$ | $\widetilde{\Omega}\left(n^{3 / 5}\right)$ |
| $k$-vertex subgraph detection | $n^{2-\Omega(1 / k)}$ <br> [Eden et al. DISC'19 | $\begin{gathered} n^{2-O(1 / k)} \\ \text { [Fischer et al. SPAA'18] } \end{gathered}$ |
| $k$-clique enumeration | ? | $\widetilde{\Omega}\left(n^{1-2 / k}\right)$ |

## Open:

Application which is not subgraph detection/listing

## History: Distributed Expander Decomposition

| Reference | Rounds | Note |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| [Chang Pettie Zhang SODA'19] | $n^{1-\delta}$ | Output an extra part: <br> a subgraph with arboricity $n^{\delta}$ |
| [Chang S PODC'19] | $n^{\epsilon}$ |  |
| [Chang S in progress] | polylog$(n)$ |  |
| [Chang S in progress] | $n^{\epsilon}$ | Deterministic |
| Open: | polylog(n) | Deterministic |

