Distance Computation in Massive Graphs

Michal Dory, University of Haifa

Computing Distances





How to do a computation when the input is too large and cannot be stored in one machine?





How to do a computation when the input is too large and cannot be stored in one machine?

Development of practical systems for massively parallel computation: MapReduce, Hadoop, Spark, Dryad





This Talk

Computing distances:

[Censor-Hillel, D, Korhonen, Leitersdorf, 2019]
[D, Parter, 2020]
[D, Fischer, Khoury, Leitersdorf, 2021]
[Biswas, D, Ghaffari, Mitrovic, Nazari, 2021]







• *n* machines

n vertices





n vertices

- *n* machines
- Input per machine: O(n) edges





n vertices

- *n* machines
- Input per machine: O(n) edges
- Each machine can send/receive a total of n messages of O(log n) bits per round





- *n* machines
- Input per machine: O(n) edges
- Each machine can send/receive a total of n messages of O(log n) bits per round





- *n* machines
- Input per machine: O(n) edges
- Each machine can send/receive a total of n messages of O(log n) bits per round

The Model - Congested Clique



- *n* machines
- Input per machine: O(n) edges
- Each machine can send/receive a total of n messages of O(log n) bits per round

In the AMG workshop (Friday)

Yasamin Nazari – Distance Computation in MPC and related models



Machines have sublinear memory

The Model - Congested Clique



- *n* machines
- Input per machine: O(n) edges
- Each machine can send/receive a total of n messages of O(log n) bits per round

Distance Computation

All-pairs shortest paths (APSP)



Distance Computation

All-pairs shortest paths (APSP) Focus: APSP in unweighted undirected graphs



• Polynomial time algorithms for exact APSP based on matrix multiplication [Censor-Hillel et al. 15, Le Gall 16]

Round Complexity	Variant
$ ilde{O}(n^{1/3})$	weighted directed
$O(n^{0.158})$	unweighted undirected

Time complexity

Approximation

Can we get faster algorithms if we allow approximations?

Time complexity

Approximation

Can we get faster algorithms if we allow approximations?

Time complexity



Can we get faster algorithms if we allow approximations?

Time complexity



Can we get faster algorithms if we allow approximations?













Time complexity





Time complexity







Our Techniques

<u>Goal</u>: compress our graph while preserving the distances



Our Techniques

<u>Goal</u>: compress our graph while preserving the distances



Compute a spanner, a sparse subgraph that approximately preserves the distances

• A **k**-spanner of a graph G, is a subgraph H of Gsuch that for all u, v: $d_G(u, v) \le d_H(u, v) \le k \cdot d_G(u, v)$



• A **k**-spanner of a graph G, is a subgraph H of Gsuch that for all u, v: $d_G(u, v) \le d_H(u, v) \le k \cdot d_G(u, v)$

Numerous applications:

- Synchronization in distributed networks
- Compact routing schemes
- Approximate shortest paths
- ...



• A **k**-spanner of a graph G, is a subgraph H of Gsuch that for all u, v: $d_G(u, v) \le d_H(u, v) \le k \cdot d_G(u, v)$

<u>Theorem</u> [Althöfer et al.,93]: Every graph has a (2k - 1)-spanner of size $O(n^{1+1/k})$

• A **k-spanner** of a graph G, is a subgraph H of G such that for all u, v: $d_G(u, v) \le d_H(u, v) \le k \cdot d_G(u, v)$

<u>Theorem</u> [Althöfer et al.,93]: Every graph has a (2k - 1)-spanner of size $O(n^{1+1/k})$

For $k = \log n$: $O(\log n)$ -spanner of size O(n)

<u>Theorem</u> [Althöfer et al.,93]: Every graph has a (2k - 1)-spanner of size $O(n^{1+1/k})$

Goal: construct $O(\log n)$ -spanner of size O(n)

<u>Theorem</u> [Althöfer et al.,93]: Every graph has a (2k - 1)-spanner of size $O(n^{1+1/k})$


Spanners

<u>Theorem</u> [Althöfer et al.,93]: Every graph has a (2k - 1)-spanner of size $O(n^{1+1/k})$



The Spanner Algorithm



The Spanner Algorithm



Partition the edges: $E = \bigcup E_i$



Compute a spanner on each part separately

Partition the edges: $E = \bigcup E_i$



Compute a spanner on each part separately

Partition the edges: $E = \bigcup E_i$



<u>Claim</u>: the union of the spanners is a spanner of the original graph

Partition the edges: $E = \bigcup E_i$



<u>Problem</u>: what is the size of the spanner?

Partition the edges: $E = \bigcup E_i$



<u>Challenge:</u> find a smart partitioning



Partition the vertices into \sqrt{n} sets of size \sqrt{n}



$$E_{i,j} = \left\{ \{u, v\} \in E \mid u \in V_i, v \in V_j \right\}$$



$$E_{i,j} = \left\{ \{u, v\} \in E \mid u \in V_i, v \in V_j \right\}$$



$$E_{i,j} = \left\{ \{u, v\} \in E \mid u \in V_i, v \in V_j \right\}$$

Properties: 1. *n* different subsets $E_{i,j}$ 2. $|E_{i,j}| = O(n)$



Each machine computes a spanner for one set $E_{i,i}$



Each machine computes a spanner for one set $E_{i,i}$

What is the size of the spanner?

For one set $E_{i,j}$ construct a spanner of size $O(\sqrt{n})$



<u>Overall</u>: $O(n \cdot \sqrt{n}) = O(n^{3/2})$

For one set $E_{i,j}$ construct a spanner of size $O(\sqrt{n})$



Overall:
$$O(n \cdot \sqrt{n}) = O(n^{3/2})$$
 Too expensive

<u>Goal</u>: O(n) size

<u>Sparsification theorem</u>: Let G be a graph with N vertices and M edges, we can construct $O(\log N)$ -spanner for G with $O(N^{2/3}M^{1/3})$ edges

<u>Sparsification theorem</u>: Let G be a graph with N vertices and M edges, we can construct $O(\log N)$ -spanner for G with $O(N^{2/3}M^{1/3})$ edges

d = M/N



<u>Sparsification theorem</u>: Let G be a graph with N vertices and M edges, we can construct $O(\log N)$ -spanner for G with $O(N^{2/3}M^{1/3})$ edges

This is still larger than O(n) if $m = \omega(n)$

<u>Sparsification theorem</u>: Let G be a graph with N vertices and M edges, we can construct $O(\log N)$ -spanner for G with $O(N^{2/3}M^{1/3})$ edges

Goal: apply it on a graph where $O(N^{2/3}M^{1/3}) = O(n)$

The Spanner Algorithm



The Spanner Algorithm



<u>Idea:</u> divide the vertices into groups of close-by vertices, and treat each group as one vertex



<u>Idea:</u> divide the vertices into groups of close-by vertices, and treat each group as one vertex



<u>Idea:</u> divide the vertices into groups of close-by vertices, and treat each group as one vertex



Using the sparsification theorem: construct O(n) size spanner for the contracted graph



Using the sparsification theorem: construct O(n) size spanner for the contracted graph



Can be converted to a spanner for the original graph



Can be converted to a spanner for the original graph



The Spanner Algorithm







Distributed APSP

How to get a better approximation?

Time complexity



Distributed APSP

How to get a better approximation?

Time complexity



Our Techniques



Our Techniques


Near-additive Emulator

A sparse graph H such that for all u, v: $d(u, v) \le d_H(u, v) \le (1 + \epsilon)d(u, v) + \beta$

Near-additive Emulator

A sparse graph H such that for all u, v: $d(u, v) \le d_H(u, v) \le (1 + \epsilon)d(u, v) + \beta$

 $(1 + \Theta(\epsilon))$ -approximation for long distances!

Near-additive Emulator

A sparse graph H such that for all u, v: $d(u, v) \le d_H(u, v) \le (1 + \epsilon)d(u, v) + \beta$



Shortest Paths via Emulators

Left with short paths of length $t = O(\beta/\epsilon)$

Requires $poly(\log t) = poly(\log \log n)$ time!

Shortest Paths via Emulators





Conclusion

We saw:

Round Complexity	Approximation
$poly(\log \log n)$	$2 + \epsilon$
0(1)	$O(\log n)$

Conclusion

We saw:

Round Complexity	Approximation
$poly(\log \log n)$	$2 + \epsilon$
0(1)	$O(\log n)$

Can we get O(1)-approximation in O(1) rounds?

The Model - Congested Clique



- *n* machines
- Input per machine: O(n) edges
- Each machine can send/receive a total of n messages of O(log n) bits per round

The Model - Congested Clique



What happens if we bound the memory?

- n machines
- Input per machine: O(n) edges
- Each machine can send/receive a total of n messages of O(log n) bits per round

The Model – Linear Memory MPC



What happens if we bound the memory?

- O(m/n) machines total memory of $\tilde{O}(m)$
- $\tilde{O}(n)$ memory per machine

The Model – Linear Memory MPC





- O(m/n) machines total memory of $\tilde{O}(m)$
- $\tilde{O}(n)$ memory per machine

The Model – Sublinear Memory MPC



• $O(m/n^{\gamma})$ machines – total memory of $\tilde{O}(m)$

• $\tilde{O}(n^{\gamma})$ memory per machine, $\gamma < 1$

The Model – Sublinear Memory MPC



- Spanners in poly(log log n) time [BDGMN, 2021]
- Conditional Ω(log n) lower bounds for shortest paths computations

• $O(m/n^{\gamma})$ machines – total memory of $\tilde{O}(m)$

• $\tilde{O}(n^{\gamma})$ memory per machine, $\gamma < 1$

The Model – Sublinear Memory MPC



<u>See Friday's AMG talk</u>: Yasamin Nazari – Distance Computation in MPC and related models



• $O(m/n^{\gamma})$ machines – total memory of $\tilde{O}(m)$

• $\tilde{O}(n^{\gamma})$ memory per machine, $\gamma < 1$

The Model – Heterogeneous MPC



<u>Next ADGA talk</u>: Orr Fischer - Massively Parallel Computation in a Heterogeneous Regime: One Strong Machine Makes a Big Difference

One linear machine, The rest – sublinear machines



Open Questions

- Can we get O(1)-approximation in O(1) rounds?
- Directed/Exact shortest paths
- What other problems can be solved in O(1) rounds?

Open Questions

0(1)-round algorithms:

- Approximate APSP
- Spanners
- Minimum Spanning Tree
- $(\Delta + 1)$ -Coloring

<u>*O*(log log *n*)-round algorithms</u>:

- Approximate Maximum Matching
- Maximal Independent Set
- Approximate Vertex Cover

